

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Lake Mills Area School District, Wisconsin; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

Virginia A Murillo, San Francisco 1-415-371-5098; virginia.murillo@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Andrew J Truckenmiller, Chicago + 1 (312) 233 7032; andrew.truckenmiller@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Rating Action

Stable Outlook

Credit Opinion

Related Research

Summary:

Lake Mills Area School District, Wisconsin; General Obligation

Credit Profile

US\$12.925 mil GO rfdg bnds dtd 12/01/2021 due 03/01/2032

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| <i>Long Term Rating</i> | AA/Stable | New |
| Lake Mills Area School District GO | | |
| <i>Long Term Rating</i> | AA/Stable | Affirmed |
| Lake Mills Area Sch Dist GO prom nts | | |
| <i>Long Term Rating</i> | AA/Stable | Affirmed |

Rating Action

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA' rating to Lake Mills Area School District (ASD), Wis.' roughly \$12.925 million general obligation (GO) refunding bonds. At the same time, we affirmed our 'AA' rating on the district's outstanding debt. The outlook is stable.

An ad valorem-property-tax pledge, unlimited as to rate or amount, secures the district's unlimited-tax GO refunding debt.

Officials plan to refund the district's 2012 GO school improvement bonds, 2014 refunding bonds, and the 2014 GO promissory notes for interest cost savings.

Credit overview

Lake Mills ASD benefits from access to Madison and Milwaukee's western suburbs, reflected in good incomes and extremely strong market value. The district has seen consistent tax base and enrollment growth, which has led to capital needs. This growth, however, could pressure the district's debt burden in the future and should the district's debt burden materially worsen, a lower rating is possible. It has posted positive operating results over the last three fiscal years, reflecting management's conservative budgeting practices and the receipt of federal stimulus in fiscal years 2020 and 2021. Overall, its financial profile has remained very strong.

The rating reflects our view of the district's:

- Local economy with access to the diverse Madison and Milwaukee metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs), coupled with a property tax base that has grown during the past few years;
- Adequate management policies and practices;
- Very strong reserves with a history of positive operations and increasing enrollment; and
- The district's moderate-to-high debt burden.

Environmental, social, and governance factors

We have analyzed the district's environmental, social, and governance (ESG) risks relative to its economy, management, financial measures, and debt and liability profile and have determined all are in line with what we typically observe with sector peers.

Stable Outlook

Downside scenario

We could lower the rating if the debt burden materially increases, pressuring the district's finances. We could also do so if the district's finances deteriorate materially, decreasing its reserves to a level no longer comparable with that of similarly rated peers.

Upside scenario

We could raise the rating if the district's economic indicators improved to levels commensurate with those of higher rated peers, coupled with moderation of debt and carrying charges to levels comparable with those of higher rated peers, assuming no deterioration in other credit factors.

Credit Opinion

Local economy that has access to Madison and Milwaukee MSAs, with a growing tax base

The approximately 78-square-mile district is in Jefferson County and is centrally located with access to the Madison MSA. District residents have access to broader employment opportunities in Madison, and additionally have access to Milwaukee's western suburbs, reflected in the district's good incomes and extremely strong market value. It has experienced steady population growth during the past five years that has brought a corresponding growth in enrollment.

Officials report economic disruption due to COVID-19 was minimal, with no business closures and leading area employers continuing uninterrupted throughout 2020. County unemployment was 5.4% in 2020 due to COVID-19 compared with 6.3% in Wisconsin. Management primarily attributes equalized value (EV) growth to continued housing development. EV increased by roughly 10% to \$1.18 billion for 2020. A combination of state aid and local sources make up the bulk of the district's revenues, of which 54% was state aid and 35% was local sources in fiscal 2020.

Recent positive operations and trend of growing enrollment

Lake Mills ASD grade pre-K-12 enrollment steadily increased by 3.4% annually from school years 2018-2019 to 2020-2021, which management attributes to residential development and the addition of new families. Notably, the district's enrollment was not weakened by the COVID-19 pandemic. A three-year moving enrollment average is a key factor in Wisconsin school district per-pupil revenue, which is subject to a cap that the state determines. Although annual student count fluctuations do not have a material effect on finances, a trend of increasing or decreasing enrollment could lead to corresponding increases or decreases in revenue. Management anticipates enrollment will continue to grow in the upcoming years. Given the district's economic growth, we believe the district's projected growth is achievable.

The district has posted surplus operating results during the past three audited fiscal years, ended June 30. For fiscal 2020, it posted a \$253,000 general fund surplus, or 1.3% of general fund expenditures. Officials attribute this surplus result to conservative budgeting, claimed grant funds, and management's concerted efforts to return the district's finances to fiscal health. Its total government available cash as a percentage of expenditures decreased in fiscal 2020 largely as a result of its completion of a high school capital project.

For fiscal 2021, the district had anticipated a deficit budget result. However, officials estimate a \$600,000 surplus general fund result due primarily to operations savings from the limited in-person instruction setting during the school year and federal stimulus for COVID-19-related expenses. The district received roughly \$78,000 in CARES Act funding, which the budget includes. Officials used these funds to cover COVID-19-related expenses and technology upgrades. The district also received a \$318,000 allocation in additional federal stimulus in December 2020 to support a new greenhouse, instruction support, literacy intervention, and to offset planned deficits. Officials expect an estimated \$715,000 from the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021; they are still developing plans for these funds.

For fiscal 2022, the district's adopted budget includes a planned \$308,000 deficit, or 1.6% of general fund expenditures. However, management notes that it anticipates federal stimulus funds will make the fiscal 2022 budget break-even. With the receipt of federal stimulus, and no significant plans for a draw on general fund deficits, we think the district will be able to achieve break-even results for fiscal 2022.

Adequate Financial Management Assessment (FMA) supporting consistent financial results recently

We have revised our view of the district's FMA from good to adequate based on our view that the district's long-term planning practices have changed since our last review.

Highlights include management's:

- Strong revenue and expenditure assumptions and the use of historical data with monthly budget-to-actual performance reports to the school board and ability to amend the budget as needed;
- A 10-year long-range capital plan that is updated annually, although it is our opinion that the plan is not sophisticated or robust;
- Debt and investment management and policies that largely mirror state law and investments are reported to the board in the annual audit; and
- Formally adopted fund-balance policy of maintaining 20% of current-year expenditures, a policy with which it has historically complied.

The district does not currently maintain a long-term financial plan for operations. Its cybersecurity practices align with what we typically observe with peers.

High-to-moderate debt

At 4.8% overall net debt as a percent of market value, and with an overall net debt per capita of \$5,502, we consider the district to have high-to-moderate debt. There is no privately placed debt.

Pension and other postemployment benefit highlights:

The district's pension and other postemployment benefit (OPEB) costs are modest as a share of total spending and are

not likely to accelerate significantly in the medium term given the pension plan's strong funded status. Lake Mills ASD participates in the Wisconsin Retirement System (WRS), a multiple-employer, defined-benefit pension plan that in recent years has routinely been among the best-funded multiple-employer pension plans in the country. It also sponsors and administers a single-employer, defined-benefit supplemental pension for qualifying administrators and teachers. Lake Mills ASD provides OPEBs through a single-employer, defined-benefit health care plan.

Contributions to WRS are actuarially based, and Lake Mills ASD funds 100% of its required contribution each year. Contribution volatility risk is shared, limited risk to the district. For more details about the plan, see "Pension Spotlight: Risk Sharing Dilutes Pension Burden For Five States" April 21, 2021.

The district participates in the following pension and OPEB plans:

- WRS: 103% funded (as of Dec. 31, 2019), with an estimated school district proportionate share of the plan's net pension asset of \$1.88 million.
- A supplemental pension plan funded on a pay-as-you-go basis, with a net pension liability of \$1.72 million (as of June 30, 2020).
- A single-employer, defined-benefit health care OPEB plan: 24.4% funded, with an established trust (as of June 30, 2020) and with a net OPEB liability of \$1.23 million.

Lake Mills ASD's combined pension and OPEB contributions were 3.7% of governmental fund expenditures in fiscal 2020. Contributions to WRS are actuarially based, and the district funds 100% of its required contribution each year. While WRS' 7% return assumption exceeds our 6% guideline, exposure to market volatility is mitigated because the plan employs a shared risk model where investment performance fluctuations are offset by changes in active employee contributions and in adjustments in benefit payments. Because of these features, we expect contributions will remain relatively stable, and given the plan's strong funded status and strong contribution practices, we expect they will also remain affordable.

Lake Mills Area School District, Wisconsin -- Key Credit Metrics

| | Characterization | Most recent | Historical information | | |
|---|------------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | 2020 | 2019 | 2018 |
| Economic indicators | | | | | |
| Population | | | 9,832 | 9,870 | 9,760 |
| Median household EBI % of U.S. | Good | | 108 | 112 | 118 |
| Per capita EBI % of U.S. | Good | | 97 | 98 | 104 |
| Market value (\$000) | | 1,230,544 | 1,116,697 | 1,052,008 | 1,000,936 |
| Market value per capita (\$) | Extremely strong | 125,157 | 113,578 | 106,586 | 102,555 |
| Top 10 taxpayers % of taxable value | Very diverse | 8.6 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.5 |
| Financial indicators | | | | | |
| Total available reserves (\$000) | | | 4,716 | 4,457 | 4,134 |
| Available reserves % of operating expenditures | Very strong | | 25.0 | 29.1 | 27.8 |
| Total government cash % of governmental fund expenditures | | | 14.2 | 52.6 | 15.3 |
| Operating fund result % of expenditures | | | 1.3 | 2.2 | 0.4 |

Lake Mills Area School District, Wisconsin -- Key Credit Metrics (cont.)

| | Characterization | Most recent | Historical information | | |
|---|------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------|-------|
| | | | 2020 | 2019 | 2018 |
| Financial Management Assessment | Good | | | | |
| Enrollment | | 1,586 | 1,568 | 1,524 | 1,516 |
| Debt and long-term liabilities | | | | | |
| Overall net debt % of market value | Moderate | 4.5 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 4.8 |
| Overall net debt per capita (\$) | High | 5,594 | 5,502 | 5,674 | 4,945 |
| Debt service % of governmental fund noncapital expenditures | Moderate | | 9.2 | 10.9 | 10.9 |
| Direct debt 10-year amortization (%) | Fairly Rapid | 72 | 66 | 61 | 63 |
| Required pension contribution % of governmental fund expenditures | | | 2.8 | 3.5 | 3.7 |
| OPEB actual contribution % of governmental fund expenditures | | | 1.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| Minimum funding progress, largest pension plan (%) | | | 84.1 | 134.4 | 0.0 |

EBI--Effective buying income. OPEB--Other postemployment benefits.

Related Research

- Through The ESG Lens 2.0: A Deeper Dive Into U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, April 28, 2020

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2021 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.